COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE October 11, 2010

Court Holiday

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE

October 12, 2010

Court convened at 9:00 a.m.

Present: The Honorable Judith McConnell, Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justices Alex McDonald and Terry O'Rourke

Clerk: D. Moore

D054677 Gonzalez et al. v. Southern California Gas Company

Cause called on merits. John Taylor, Esq. argued for appellant. Lann G. McIntyre, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Taylor replied.

Cause submitted.

D055300 Rocha v. VU

Cause called on merits. Scott A. McMillan, Esq. argued for appellant. Lisa Renee Brant, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. McMillan replied.

Cause submitted.

D056713 Stanton v. Stanton

Cause called on merits. Solomon Robert Stanton argued for appellant in pro per. Andy Cook, Esq. argued for respondent, Carol Stanton. Mary K. Dahlberg, Esq. argued for respondent, Dept. of Child Support Services. Mr. Stanton replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 10:12 a.m. to change panel members.

New panel members: The Honorable Alex McDonald, Acting Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justices Terry O'Rourke and Cynthia Aaron

D054980 People v. Saibu et al.

Cause called on merits. Rebecca P. Jones, Esq. argued for appellant, Saibu. Diane Nichols, Esq. argued for appellant, Valentino. Anthony DaSilva, Deputy Attorney General, argued for respondent. Ms. Jones replied. Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 10:48 a.m. until 1:30 p.m.

Court reconvened at 1:30 p.m.

Present: The Honorable Judith McConnell, Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justices Patricia Benke and Cynthia Aaron

Clerk: D. Moore

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

October 12, 2010 (Continued)

D055057 People v. Thornton

Cause called on merits. Carl Fabian, Esq. argued for appellant. Claire Author, Certified Law Student, argued for respondent. Mr. Fabian replied.

D055161 People v. Springfield

Cause called on merits. Cynthia Diane Jones, Esq. argued for appellant. Karl Thomsen Terp, Deputy Attorney General, argued for respondent. Ms. Jones replied. Cause submitted.

D055136 People v. Harvey

Cause called on merits. Benjamin B. Kington, Esq. argued for appellant. Donald William Ostertag, Deputy Attorney General, argued for respondent. Mr. Kington replied. Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 2:23 p.m. to change panel members.

New panel members: The Honorable Judith McConnell, Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justices Alex McDonald and Cynthia Aaron.

D056355 Kahn v. Humphries et al.

Cause called on merits. Lawrence Wasserman, Esq. argued for appellant. Amy Elizabeth West, Esq. argued for respondent. Cause submitted.

D054810 P&D Consultant, Inc. v. City of Carlsbad

Cause called on merits. Anthony T. Ditty, Esq. argued for appellant. David A. Niddrie, Esq. argued for respondent. Michael Warren Vivoli, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Ditty replied. Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 3:15 p.m. until Wednesday, October 13, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.

D056934 Bank of the West v. Ashurst Land & Cattle et al.

Appellant has failed to file a brief after notice given pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.220(a). The appeal is dismissed.

D057516 In re Rosier on Habeas Corpus

Appellant Rosier's motion to augment the record on appeal is denied. The appeal is dismissed because only the prosecution has standing to appeal an order granting habeas corpus relief (Pen. Code, § 1506).

D058160 In re Close on Habeas Corpus

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

October 12, 2010 (Continued)

D058042 In re Levao on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D058257 In re Felix on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D058102 Sara N. et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/S.D. County Health & Human Services Agency

The attorneys for petitioners Sara N. and Craig W. have notified the court that petitions for writs of mandate under California Rules of Court, rule 8.452 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The attorneys' requests, on behalf of petitioners, for extensions of time to file petitions are denied. The case is dismissed.

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE October 13, 2010

D057321 In re Marriage of Burrell

Upon written request filed by appellant, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately.

D057404 Davis v. Stewart

Appellant has failed to file a brief after notice given pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.220(a). The appeal is dismissed.

D057743 People v. Johnson

Appellant has failed to file a brief after notice given pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.220(a). The appeal is dismissed.

D057425 Beaman v. AVL Animal Hospital, Inc.

Upon written request filed by appellant, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).)

D055470 Agosto v. Board of Trustees of the Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District

The petition for rehearing is denied.

D058288 Mateer v. Superior Court of San Bernardino County/The People

The petition is denied.

D054496 Kelman et al. v. Kramer

The opinion filed September 14, 2010, is ordered modified (no change in judgment). The petition for rehearing is denied.

Court convened at 9:00 a.m.

Present: The Honorable Patricia Benke, Acting Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justices Gilbert Nares and Judith Haller

Clerk: D. Moore

D056266 Sturgeon v. County of Los Angeles et al.

Cause called on merits. Sterling Ernie Norris, Esq. argued for appellant.

Elwood Lui, Esq. argued for respondent, Molina and etc.

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., Esq. argued for respondent, Superior Court.

Mr. Norris replied. Cause submitted.

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

October 13, 2010 (Continued)

Court recessed at 9:41 a.m. to change panel members.

New panel members: The Honorable Richard Huffman, Acting Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justices Judith Haller and Alex McDonald

D056333 Pointe San Diego Residential Community, LP et al. v. Weingarten

Cause called on merits. Charles A. Bird, Esq. argued for appellant.

Barbara W. Ravitz, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Bird replied. Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 10:17 a.m. until 2:00 p.m.

Court reconvened at 2:00 p.m.

Present: The Honorable Richard Huffman, Acting Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justices Gilbert Nares and Judith Haller

Clerk: D. Moore

D056266 Sevidal v. Target Corporation

Cause called on merits. Matthew Bartley Butler, Esq. argued for appellant. David Frank McDowell, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Bartley replied.

Cause submitted.

D055401 Jentz v. City of Chula Vista et al.

Cause called on merits. Michael George Colantuono, Esq. argued for appellant.

Mark J. Dillon, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Colantuono replied.

Cause submitted.

D055313 Weiser v. Brown

Cause called on merits. Kathryn E. M. Brown, Esq. argued for appellant.

A. David Mongan, Esq. argued for respondent. Ms. Brown replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 3:08 p.m. until Thursday, October 14, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.

D055390 Cochran v. El Cajon Motors

The request for publication of the opinion filed September 21, 2010, is denied.

D058222 In re White on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D058252 In re Johnson on Habeas Corpus

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE October 13, 2010 (Continued)

D058221 In re White on Habeas Corpus

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE

October 14, 2010

D057644 Plaza Camino Real v. City of Oceanside et al.

The court accepts the notice of settlement filed by appellant on October 12, 2010. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244; all rule references are to the California Rules of Court.) Upon written request filed by appellant, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).)

D057862 In re Angulo on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D057906 In re Melanson on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

Court convened at 9:00 a.m.

Present: The Honorable Terry O'Rourke, Acting Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justice Cynthia Aaron

Clerk: D. Moore

D055706 Sommer v. Hawkes

Cause called on merits. Ervin Sommer argued for appellant in pro per. Gregory Y. Lievers, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Sommer replied.

Cause to be submitted at a later date.

D056578 Levine et al. v. Blue Shield of California

Cause called on merits. Michael L. Levine, Esq. argued for appellant. Brad William Seiling, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Levine replied.

Cause to be submitted at a later date.

Court recessed at 9:55 a.m. until 1:30 p.m.

Court reconvened at 1:30 p.m.

Present: The Honorable Alex McDonald, Acting Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justice Cynthia Aaron

Clerk: D. Moore

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE

October 14, 2010 (Continued)

D055852 Kovacevic v. Avalon at Eagles' Crossing Homeowner Association et al.

Cause called on merits. Carmen Kovacevic argued telephonically for appellant in pro per. Alan E. Greenberg, Esq. argued for respondent.

Jonathan P. Green, Esq. argued for respondent. Ms. Kovacevic replied.

Cause to be submitted at a later date.

Court recessed at 2:06 p.m. to change panel members.

New panel members: The Honorable Alex McDonald, Acting Presiding Justice, and

The Honorable Associate Justice Terry O'Rourke

D055292 Shannahan v. Shannahan D053701 Shannahan v. Shannahan

Cause called on merits. John Morris, Esq. argued for appellant. Stephen Temko, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Morris replied.

Cause to be submitted at a later date.

Court adjourned at 2:37 p.m.

D055029 Ghiotto et al. v. City of San Diego et al.

The judgment is affirmed. This action is remanded to the trial court to exercise its discretion as to the amount of appellate attorney fees to award to the Firefighters. In the interest of justice, the parties are to bear their own costs on appeal. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.278(a)(3).)

Irion, J.: We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Haller, J.

D057388 Lemon Grove Holdings, LLC v. Thrifty Corporation et al.

Upon filing a written abandonment of appeal, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).)

D056696 In re A.N., a Juvenile

The petition for rehearing is denied.

D057520 Washington v. Horvath

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.140, the appeal filed May 27, 2010, is dismissed for appellant's failure to timely designate the record with specificity (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.121(a)).

D058039 Flores v. Deluca et al.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.140, the appeal filed May 27, 2010, is dismissed for appellant's failure to timely designate the record. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.121(a)).

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE

October 14, 2010 (Continued)

D058159 C.G. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/S.D. County Health & Human Services Agency

The attorney for petitioner has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rule 8.452 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The attorney's request, on behalf of petitioner, for an extension of time to file the petition is denied. The case is dismissed.

D058147 M.R. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/S.D. County Health & Human Services Agency

The attorney for petitioner has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rule 8.452 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The attorney's request, on behalf of petitioner, for an extension of time to file the petition is denied. The case is dismissed.

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

October 15, 2010

D056556 Rohr, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board

Upon written stipulation filed by the parties to the appeal, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).) Each party to bear own costs on appeal.

D055755 Rohr, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board

Upon written stipulation filed by the parties to the appeal, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).) Each party to bear own costs on appeal.

D055603 In re J.J., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed as modified.

McIntyre, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., McDonald, J.

D056781 In re Blair on Habeas Corpus

The petition is dismissed as moot.

McIntyre, J.; We Concur: McDonald, Acting P.J., Aaron, J.

D055580 People v. Henderson

The judgment is affirmed.

McIntyre, J.: We Concur: Haller, Acting P.J., O'Rourke, J.

D058294 Ryan et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Cihak

The petition is denied.

D058058 In re Bowling on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D058246 In re Bronson on Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus *In re William Bronson*, No. D058246, will be considered at the same time as the pending appeal *People v. Bronson*, No. D056724.

D057915 Kjeldergaard v. Superior Court of San Diego County/People

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE

October 15, 2010 (Continued)

D058335 In re Lansang on Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus and request for stay have been read and considered by Justices Haller, McDonald and Aaron.

In this petition, Ricardo Lansang asserts the trial court improperly failed to rule on his application for bail on appeal, and the motion should be remanded to the trial court for ruling.

The petition is denied. Lansang has not included copies of reasonably available supporting evidence, including the exhibits lodged in support of his application for bail or other relevant material such as the probation report and transcripts from the change of plea hearing and hearing on the motion to withdraw plea. (People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474-475.)

Moreover, contrary to Lansang's argument that the lack of a ruling on his application suggests that the trial court left the issue to this court, the transcript of the September 30 hearing makes it appear more likely that the court either forgot about the pending application for bail on appeal in the face of the other matters before it, or became confused as to whether the application had been withdrawn with the withdrawal of the motion for continuance. Notably, Lansang has not explained why he did not directly ask the trial court for a ruling or argue that the trial court was required to hear the application and articulate reasons.

The petition is denied without prejudice to Lansang's renewing his application or filing a new application for bail on appeal in the superior court.

D058187 In re Tapia on Habeas Corpus